

# The persistence of global form (Part II): Figure-specific fMRI activity in V1 **Cheryl Lavell, Lars Strother, and Tutis Vilis** University of Western Ontario, Centre for Brain & Mind - London, Ontario CANADA

# Introduction



We then measured fMRI persistence-related responses in each ROI for three ring figures (matched by size to the ROI localizer stimuli).

We performed additional fMRI localizer scans to identify **LO** (object-selective) and **MT** (motion-selective) and obtained retinotopic maps.

## **Predictions: Mid-sized Figure/Background**



### **Results: Mid-sized Figure/Background**

Using our three eccentricity-based ROIs (large, medium, small), we obtained event-related averages for our persistence experiments.





We observed predominantly <u>NEGATIVE</u> fMRI responses in our two 2,3 Background ROIs (large=outside of figure; small=inside). These time courses returned to baseline earlier than those observed in our ring figure ROI.

- **Positive** persistence-related activity in **all** ROIs
- (B) **Negative** in peripheral ROI (i.e. outside of the figure) and **Positive** inside of figure
- (C) **Positive** in figure ROI, **Negative** both inside and outside of figure

**Figure ROI (medium):** We observed sustained <u>POSITIVE</u> fMRI responses to 'persist' in the mid-size ROI.



- (1) Persistence-related fMRI activity in V1
- (2) Implication: V1 interacts with both LO the V1 $\leftrightarrow$  LO remains active during only  $V1 \leftrightarrow LO$  shows persistence).

Neurophysiol, 2005. 93(6): p. 3453-62. Cortex, 2003. 13(7): p. 716-21.



# **Results: All conditions & ROIs**

For each figure size, we compared responses in the V1 'figure' and 'background' ROIs and compared these to those in LO.

> Persistence-related fMRI activity in **LO** was similar to that in previous studies [1-4].

Only the *figure-related fMRI responses* in V1 (graphs along diagonal) resembled those in LO.

fMRI signal in 'background' ROIs was predominantly negative during the motion phase, suggesting suppression during figure-ground segregation (but not to the same degree during persistence).

### Conclusions

is similar to that in LO, in the 'figure' region of V1 (but not 'background' V1).

and MT during form-from-motion. Only persistence, and is thus independent from the V1↔ MT circuit. *Form and* motion pathways are segregated as early as V1 during persistence (both MT and LO receive input from V1 but



### REFERENCES

[1] Strother, L. P.S. Mathuranath, A. Aldcroft, C. Lavell, M.A. Goodale, & T. Vilis. Face Inversion Reduces the Persistence of Global Form and its Neural Correlates. PLoS ONE, 2011, http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018705. [2] Large, M.E., A. Aldcroft, and T. Vilis, Perceptual continuity and the emergence of perceptual persistence in the ventral visual pathway. J

[3] Ferber S, Humphrey GK, Vilis T Segregation and persistence of form in the lateral occipital complex. *Neuropsychologia.;43(1):41-51 2005* [4] Ferber, S., G.K. Humphrey, and T. Vilis, The lateral occipital complex subserves the perceptual persistence of motion-defined groupings. Cereb